

Decisions of the West Area Planning Sub-Committee

31 July 2013

Members Present:-

Councillor Maureen Braun (Chairman)
Councillor Eva Greenspan (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor Jack Cohen	Councillor John Marshall
Councillor Melvin Cohen	Councillor Hugh Rayner
Councillor Claire Farrier	Councillor Agnes Slocombe
Councillor Sury Khatri	Councillor Gill Sargeant

Apologies for Absence

Councillor Darrel Yawitch

1. MINUTES

That the minutes of the meeting held on 2 July 2013 be signed as an accurate record.

2. ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Darrel Yawitch.

3. DECLARATION OF MEMBERS' PERSONAL AND PREJUDICIAL INTERESTS

19 Hendon Lane_F/01809/13

Councillor Eva Greenspan declared a Non Pecuniary interest as her opinion on the application had already been made known to the sub-Committee, therefore it could be prejudicial for her to participate in consideration of this item. Councillor Greenspan absented herself from the meeting and did not take part in the consideration and voting process.

130 Hendon Lane_F/01583/13

Councillor Maureen Braun declared a Non Pecuniary interest as the objector was known to her. It was noted that the objector was not currently in the room but that Councillor Braun would absent herself from the meeting should the objector attend and speak. This did not eventuate, and Councillor Braun took part in the consideration and voting process.

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

None received.

5. MEMBERS' ITEM

None received.

6. APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION AND CONSENT UNDER THE ADVERTISEMENTS REGULATIONS

7. 19 HENDON AVENUE

The sub-Committee having heard from Mr Peteman who spoke in objection to the application and response from the applicant's representative:

RESOLVED TO APPROVE the application as per the Officer's report and subject to the conditions as set out in the report.

8. 130 HENDON LANE

The sub-Committee noted the receipt of the additional information as set out in the tabled addendum.

The sub-Committee:

RESOLVED TO APPROVE the application as per the Officer's report and subject to the conditions as set out in the report and the addendum.

9. 139 STATION ROAD

The sub-Committee noted the receipt of the additional information as set out in the tabled addendum.

The sub-Committee:

RESOLVED TO APPROVE the application as per the Officer's report and subject to the conditions as set out in the report, the addendum and including the following informative:

The applicant is advised that any future application for further units on the site is unlikely to be supported by the Council.

10. WINDSOR COURT

The sub-Committee noted the receipt of the additional information as set out in the tabled addendum.

The sub-Committee having heard from Mr Held and Mr Levey who spoke in objection to the application and a response from the applicant's representative:

RESOLVED TO REFUSE the application for the following reason(s)

The proposed development by reason of additional traffic, vehicle movement and increased demand on the public highway would be detrimental to the free flow of traffic, highway and pedestrian safety contrary to policy DM17 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2012).

Add the following informative:

“In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the NPPF, the Council takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals, focused on solutions. To assist applicants in submitting development proposals, the Local Planning Authority has produced planning policies and written guidance to guide applicants when submitting applications. These are all available on the Council's website. A pre-application advice service is also offered.

The proposals do not comply with the relevant policies and guidance as set out in the reasons for refusal.

If the applicant wishes to submit a further application, the Council is willing to assist in identifying possible solutions through the pre-application advice service”.

11. CONVENT OF ST MARY COMMITTEE, TREE PRESERVATION ORDER

The sub-Committee:

RESOLVED TO REFUSE RESOLVED TO REFUSE CONSENT to fell the Oak for the following reason:

The loss of the trees of special amenity value is not justified as a remedy for the alleged subsidence damage on the basis of the information provided.

12. HAMMERSON HOUSE, TREE PRESERVATION ORDER

The sub-Committee having heard from Mr Einhorn who spoke in objection to the application:

RESOLVED TO REFUSE CONSENT to fell the 1 x Oak, 1 x Cypress and 5 x Cypress trees for the following reason:

The loss of the trees of special amenity value is not justified as a remedy for the alleged subsidence damage on the basis of the information provided.

13. ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

There were no urgent matters.

The meeting finished at 8.50 pm